

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/742,919	12/23/2003	Chang Hoon Lee	8733.966.00-US 7355		
30827	30827 7590 08/23/2006		EXAMINER		
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			DUONG	DUONG, TAI V	
1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871	2871	
		DATE MAILED: 08/23/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/742,919	LEE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tai Duong	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 At	iaust 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-8 and 14-16</u> is/are	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-8 and 14-16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 9-13</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 9-13</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
<u> </u>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/07/2006 has been entered.

Claims 5-8 and 14-16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 08/25/05.

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: the specification does not disclose the feature "wherein the metal pattern *completely overlaps* the UV-hardening sealant", as recited in claims 1 and 9. The objection to the specification stands for the reasons set forth in the Advisory Action mailed 07/20/2006.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, last line, the recited feature "the metal pattern is on the same material as the gate line" is not understood.

Application/Control Number: 10/742,919 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3, 4 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Moon et al (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0081155).

As to claims 1 and 9, note Figs. 6D and 12 C which identically disclose the claimed method and the claimed IPS mode LCD device comprising first and second substrates (300,350) opposite each other, each substrate having an active region 320 and a dummy region (the region adjacent to the black matrix 330), a black matrix layer 330 in the dummy region of the second substrate 350; a UV-hardening sealant 310 at a circumference of the black matrix layer between the first and second substrates, wherein the UV-hardening sealant bonds the first and second substrates together; a metal pattern 340 between the UV-hardening sealant and the first substrate 300; and a liquid crystal layer between the first and second substrates bonded by the UV-hardening sealant; wherein the metal pattern 340 completely overlaps the UV-hardening sealant 310 (as viewed from the direction of the first substrate 300). As to the recited features of claim 11, see paragraphs 0063-0064. Regarding the recited features of claims 3 and 10, see paragraph 0047. As to the recited features of claim 4, note the column spacer in

paragraph 0048 and the overcoat layer (alignment layers 301, 351) in paragraphs 0048-0049. With respect to the recited features of claim 12, see paragraphs 0051. Regarding claim 13, as apparent from Figs. 11D and 12C, some of the incident UV rays are reflected from the metal pattern 340 to cure the seal 310.

Claim 2 is not indicated as allowed or rejected over the prior art because the intended scope of the claim is unclear for the reasons mentioned in the above rejection.

Applicant's arguments filed 07/06/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons set forth in the Advisory Action mailed 07/20/2006.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Tai Duong at telephone number (571) 272-2291.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

DUNGT. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINEN

TVD

08/06